



## GRANDANGOLO: CA PROSTATA

AIRO 2014, Padova

Alessio G. Morganti



# RT dose-effect

# Creak A et al.

Br J Cancer 2013

- randomized study:
  - 126 patients
  - med. FUP: **13.7** years
  - T1b-T3b
  - neoadjuv. ADT + 3D-RT
    - 64 Gy vs 74 Gy
    - 1.0 vs 1.5 cm margin

- 64 vs 74 Gy:
  - no differences in:
    - PSA control
    - PC-specific surv.
    - OS
- 1.0 vs 1.5 cm:
  - no differences

# Dearnaley DP et al.

Lancet Oncol 2014

- random study (RT01):
  - 862 patients
  - med. FUP: **10** years
  - T1b-T3a
  - neoadjuv. ADT + 3D-RT
    - 64 Gy vs 74 Gy

- 64 vs 74 Gy:
  - BDFS: 43% vs 55%
  - p=0.0003
- OS: 71% vs 71%
  - p=0.96

# Hou Z et al.

J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 2014

- metanalysis:

- 6 randomized trials
- higher vs convent. dose
- prolonged follow-up
- 2822 patients
- 3D-CRT

- higher dose:

- > BDFS
- = OS
- = PCSS
- >  $G \geq 2$  GI late tox.
- >  $G \geq 2$  GU late tox.



# hypofractionation

# Norkus D et al.

Radiation Oncol 2014

- randomized trial:
  - interim analysis: 124 pts
  - high risk PC
    - 76 Gy (2 Gy/fr.) vs
    - 63 Gy (3.15 Gy/fr., 4 fr/w)
  - LT adjuvant ADT

- hypofractionation:
  - earlier toxicity
  - earlier recovery
  - $> G \geq 1$  GU acute toxicity

# Pollack A et al.

J Clin Oncol 2013

- randomized trial:

- favorable to high risk
- 303 pts
- med. FUP: 68.4 mts
- 76/2 Gy vs 70.2/2.7 (84.4 Gy)
- high risk → LT ADT

- standard vs hypofr.

- < treatm. time 2.5 wks
- 5-y-BCDF: 21.4% vs 23.3%
- = late toxicity
- < urinary function with HF in pts with < urinary function

# Hoffman KE et al.

Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2014

- randomized trial:

- low-intermediate risk
- 203 pts
- med. FUP: 6 yrs
- 75.6/1.8 Gy vs 72/2.4

- standard vs hypofract.

- 5-yrs grade  $\geq 2$  tox.:
  - GU 16.5% vs 15.8% (p: NS)
  - GI: 5.1% vs 10.0% (p: NS)

# Koontz BF et al.

Eur Urol 2014

- systematic review:
  - 6 studies
  - superiority designed
  - standard vs moderate HF

- = BDFS
- = late GI toxicity
- = late GU toxicity
- non-inferiority  
studies pending

# Botrel TE et al.

Core Evid 2013

- metanalysis:
  - 9 studies
  - hypofract. vs standard
  - 2702 patients

- hypofractionation:
  - = BF
  - > acute GI toxicity
  - = acute GU toxicity
  - = late toxicity



# RT technique

# Heemsbergen WD et al.

Radiother Oncol 2013

- randomized study:
  - rectang. vs conform. fields
- 164/266 high risk pts
- FUP: 34 months
- 66 Gy to:
  - prost. + sem. vesc. + 1.5 cm

- clinical failures:
  - rectangular: 9
  - conformal: 24
  - p: 0.012
- failures out of prostate
  - rectangular: 7
  - conformal: 19
  - p: 0.025

# Michalski JM et al.

Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2013

## □ randomized trial:

- RTOG 0126
- 763 pts
- 79.2 Gy
- 3D vs IMRT

## □ IMRT

- < acute tox G  $\geq$  2 GU/GI
- = acute G  $\geq$  2 GU tox
- = late GU/GI tox



organ motion

# den Harder AM et al.

Strahlenther Onkol 2014

- randomized trial:
- 92 pts:
  - 77/2.2 Gy, IMRT
  - magnesium oxide vs placebo

- no differences:
  - prostate motion
  - rectal filling
  - rectal air pockets
- magnesium oxide:
  - not recommended

# Ki Y et al.

Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2013

- randomized trial:
- 40 pts:
  - 78/2 Gy, tomotherapy
  - Probiotic lactobacillus acidophilus vs placebo
- L acidophilus group:
  - rectal volume
    - < median value
    - < % volume change



# hormonal therapy

# Denham JM et al.

Radiother Oncol 2013

- randomized trial
  - TROG 9601
  - 802 pts, T2-4N0
  - T2b-4
  - NA ADT:
    - NO vs 3 months vs 6 months
  - incidence of dist. failures
- first 4 years FUP
  - DF: 39, 40, 26
- subsequently
  - DF: 25, 20, 11
- mets not prevented by 3 mts NA ADT

# Mason M et al.

Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol) 2013

- randomized trial

- 244 pts
- T2b-4
- NA ADT:
  - degarelix vs
  - goserelin + bicalutamide

- degarelix:

- = prostate shrinkage
- > urinary symptom relief
- in symptomatic patients

# Mydin AR et al.

Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2013

- randomized trial
  - 4 vs 8 months NA HT
- secondary analysis
  - salvage HT, 3 groups:
    - A: PSA < 10, M0
    - B: PSA > 10, M0
    - C: M1
- OS from:
  - enrol. - 10-year:
    - A: 78%
    - B: 42%
    - C: 29%
  - salvage HT - 6-year:
    - A: 70%
    - B: 47%
    - C: 22%
  - p: < 0.0005



# erectile disfunction

# Pisansky TM et al.

JAMA 2014

- randomized study
  - 242 pts
  - Tadalafil for 24 weeks
  - starting with ERT or BRT
- International Index of Erectile Function:
  - not improved @:
    - 28-30 weeks
    - 12 months

# Ilic D et al.

J Med Imaging Radiat Oncol 2013

- randomized study
  - 27 pts
  - Sildenafil for 6 months
  - after RT

- International Index of Erectile Function:
  - improved @:
    - 4 weeks
    - 6 months
  - no differences @:
    - 2 years

# Zelefsky MJ et al.

J Urol 2014

- randomized study
  - 279 pts
  - Sildenafil for 6 months
  - from 3 days before RT

- @ 2 years
- International Index of Erectile Function:
  - no differences
- functional erection with or without medication:
  - Sildenafil: 81.6%
  - Placebo: 56.0%
- > sexual desire

# Yang L et al.

Urol Int 2013

- systematic review:

- 4 randomized studies
- phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitors
- treatment of erectile dysfunction
- after RT

- PDE5:

- improved:

- International Index of Erectile Function
- Global Efficacy Questions
- Sexual Encounter Profile

- side effects:

- mild to moderate



# radiation proctitis

# Maggio A et al.

Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2014

- randomized study:

- 166 pts
- sodium butyrate enemas vs placebo
- during RT + 2 weeks

- no differences:

- proctitis
  - incidence,
  - severity
  - duration
- endoscopic data

# Yeoh E et al.

Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2013

## □ randomized study:

- 30 pts with
- intractable rectal bleeding
  - argon plasma coagulation vs
  - topical formalin
- end-point:
  - ≤ 1 bleeding/month
  - VAS < 25/100
  - no need of transfusion

## □ endpoint achieved:

- APC: 94%
- topic formalin: 100%

## □ comparable efficacy

# Chruscielewska-Kiliszek MR et al.

Colorectal Dis 2013

- randomized study:
  - 122 pts with
  - chronic emorragic RT proctitis
  - argon plasma coagulation +
  - oral sucralfate vs placebo

- in both groups:
  - severity score:
    - 4 → 2
  - bleeding score:
    - 2 → 0
- APC safe & effective
- clin. & endosc. results
  - not affected by sucralfate



# **predictive factors**

# Verhoven B et al.

Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2013

- RTOG 9408
  - 468 pts
  - low-intermediate risk
  - RT +/- ST ADT
  - Ki-67 staining index

- high Ki-67 SI ( $\geq Q3$ ):
  - > dis.-spec. mort.
  - > distant mets
  - > bioch. failures
- stratification factor in future trials

# Cury FL et al.

Cancer 2013

- RTOG 9413: 1070 pts
- @ the end of ST ADT + RT
- PSA-CR (PSA < 0.3 mg/mL)

- pts without PSA-CR:
  - < diseas.-spec. surv.
  - > distant mets
  - > bioch. failures
- LT ADT?



# surgery versus radiotherapy

# Petrelli F et al.

Clin Genitourin Cancer 2014

- RP vs RT:
  - metanalysis 17 studies:
    - 16 retrospective
    - 1 randomized
  - evaluated:
    - OS, PCSM, non-PCSM, BF
- 
- RP:
    - = BF
    - > OS
    - > PCSM
    - > non-PCSM

# Van Tol-Geerdink JJ et al.

BJU Int 2013

- 240 pts
  - eligible for RP or RT
- randomized:
  - usual care
  - decision aid

- treatment choice:
  - ← hospit.& decision aid
- RP remained preferred
- decision aid →
  - > brachytherapy
  - < undecided

## summary

- > dose → > BDFS → = survival
- hypofractionation → = BDFS → > toxicity?
- RT technique: probiotics → < organ motion
- NAD ADT: 6 mts > 3 mts
- early salvage ADT: useful in terms of OS
- proctitis: APC effective
- new predictive factors: Ki-67, PSA-CR